1	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP		
2	ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065 rvannest@kvn.com		
3	DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424 dpurcell@kvn.com		
4	EUGENE M. PAIGE - # 202849 epaige@kvn.com		
5	JUSTINA SESSIONS - # 270914 jsessions@kvn.com		
6	633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809		
7	Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188		
8	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN JOSE		
13	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 5:11-cv-2	509-LHK
14	THATTINGST ENTOTION	DEFENDANTS' J MOTION AND M	OINT NOTICE OF
15	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	SUMMARY JUDO	GMENT BASED ON CLUDE TESTIMONY OF
16	ALL ACTIONS	DR. EDWARD E.	
17			N SUPPORT THEREOF
18		DATE:	March 20, 2104 and March 27, 2014
19		TIME: COURTROOM:	1:30 p.m. Courtroom 8, 4th Floor
20		JUDGE:	Hon. Lucy H. Koh
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

DEFENDANTS' JOINT NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON THE MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE EXPERT TESTIMONY OF EDWARD E. LEAMER, PH.D.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on that on March 20, 2014 at 1:30 p.m. and/or March 27, 2104 at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as this matter may be heard, Defendants Adobe Systems Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc., and Intel Corp. ("Defendants"), shall and do hereby move this Court for an order entering summary judgment in Defendants' favor pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.

This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Notice of Motion and Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Edward E. Leamer, Ph.D., the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying Declaration of Christina J. Brown in Support of Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Edward E. Leamer, Ph.D. ("Brown Decl.") and exhibits thereto, the accompanying Declaration of Lauren J. Stiroh, Ph.D. in Support of Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Edward E. Leamer, Ph.D. ("Stiroh Decl.") and exhibits thereto, Defendants' Reply Memorandum, the pleadings and files in this action, such arguments and authorities as may be presented at or before the hearing, and such other matters as the Court may consider.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The defendants jointly move for summary judgment based on their Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Edward E. Leamer, Ph.D. Without Dr. Leamer's expert report and testimony, plaintiffs have no evidence of class-wide impact or damages and cannot prove the essential elements of their antitrust claims. *See, e.g., In re New Motor Vehicles Canadian Export Antitrust Litig.*, 522 F.3d 6, 19 n.8 (1st Cir. 2008) (listing elements of an antitrust claim); *Heary Bros. Lightning Protection Co. v. Lightning Protection Inst.*, 287 F. Supp. 2d 1038, 1061-62 (D. Ariz. 2003), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part on other grounds*, 262 Fed. App'x 815 (9th Cir. 2008) (simultaneously granting defendants' *Daubert* motion to exclude the plaintiffs' damages expert and defendants' summary judgment motion, because "exclusion of [the expert's] testimony leaves the Plaintiffs with no proof of injury, an essential element of their Sherman Act claim").

In addition to jointly moving for summary judgment based on plaintiffs' lack of evidence of impact or damages, each defendant has filed an individual motion for summary judgment directed to plaintiffs' claim that it joined an overarching conspiracy among all defendants. Plaintiffs must demonstrate that "each defendant," considered individually, made a conscious commitment to join the alleged conspiracy. *AD/SAT, Inc. v. Associated Press, et al.*, 181 F.3d 216, 234 (2d Cir. 1999). Thus, the question whether plaintiffs have made a sufficient showing that any particular defendant joined the alleged conspiracy must be considered separately with respect to each defendant.

//

21 | //

22 | //

23 | //

24 | |

//

//

//

26 | //

Dated: January 9, 2013	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP		
By:	/s/ Robert A. Van Nest Robert A. Van Nest		
	Daniel Purcell		
	Eugene M. Paige Justina Sessions		
	633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111		
	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188		
	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.		
Dated: January 9, 2013	MAYER BROWN LLP		
By:	/s/ Lee H. Rubin		
By.	Lee H. Rubin		
	Edward D. Johnson Donald M. Falk		
	Two Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 300		
	Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112		
	Telephone: (650) 331-2057 Facsimile: (650) 331-4557		
	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.		
Dated: January 9, 2013	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP		
Bv:	/s/ Michael F. Tubach		
	Michael F. Tubach		
	George Riley Christina J. Brown		
	Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111		
	Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701		
	Attorneys For Defendant APPLE INC.		
	2		
DEFENDANTS' JOINT M Case N	DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Case No. 5:11-cv-2509-LHK		
	Dated: January 9, 2013 By: Dated: January 9, 2013 By:		

1	Dated: January 9, 2013	JONES DAY		
2				
3	B	y: /s/ David C. Kiernan		
4		David C. Kiernan		
5		Robert A. Mittelstaedt Craig A. Waldman		
6		555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
7		Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700		
8		Attorneys for Defendant ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.		
9	Dated: January 9, 2013	MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
10				
11	R	y: /s/ Gregory P. Stone		
12		Gregory P. Stone		
13		Bradley S. Phillips Steven M. Perry		
14		355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560		
15		Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702		
16		Attorneys for Defendant INTEL CORPORATION		
17		inomeys for Defendant hville cont outflow		
18				
19	ATTESTATION: The filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		3		
	DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Case No. 5:11-cv-2509-LHK			